1	DAVID CHIU, State Bar # 189542				
	City Attorney ,				
2	YVONNE R. MERÉ, State Bar #173594				
		Chief Deputy City Attorney			
3		WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137			
		CARA M. STEELEY, State Bar # 231775			
4		GE, State Bar # 292332			
ا ہ	KAITLYN MURPHY, State Bar #293309				
5	Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234				
		Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place			
6					
7		San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4675 [Snodgrass]			
<i>'</i>		115) 554-4655 [Steeley]			
8		115) 554-4223 [George]			
0		115) 554-6762 [Murphy]			
9		115) 554-4699			
	(rayne.snodgrass@sfcityatty.org			
10		ra.Steeley@sfcityatty.org			
		ohn.george@sfcityatty.org			
11	ka	aitlyn.murphy@sfcityatty.org			
	Attamaya fan Dafandant				
12	Attorneys for Defendant				
12	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
13					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
•					
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16		OLLEGE OF THE LAW, a	Case No. 4:20-cv-3033-JST		
	public trust and institution of higher education			DICIAL NOTICE IN	
17	duly organized under the laws and the REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF REFERENCE AND				
10	Constitution of the State of California; FALLON VICTORIA, an individual; RENE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO'S				
19	DENIS, an individual; TENDERLOIN MERCHANTS AND PROPERTY OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION ENFORCE STIPULATED INJUNCTION				
19		N, a business association;	ENFORCE STILL CLATED INSURCTION		
20	RANDY HUGI	HES, an individual; and	Hearing Date:	May 23, 2024	
		LALOBOS, an individual,	Time:	2:00 p.m.	
21		,	Place:	Hon. Jon S. Tigar	
	Plaintiff	ŝ,		Oakland Courthouse	
22				Courtroom 6 – 2nd Floor	
	VS.			1301 Clay Street	
23				Oakland, CA 94612	
		OUNTY OF SAN	m · · · n		
24	FRANCISCO,	a municipal entity,	Trial Date:	Not Set	
,	Defenda	nnt	Attachments:	Exhibits A-C	
25	Detenda	1111.	Attachinents:	Exilions A-C	
26			I		
-~	I				

27

28

Defendant City and County of San Francisco requests the Court take judicial notice of the following three documents submitted in support of its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce the Stipulated Injunction. Judicial notice of each is appropriate pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

- 1. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of Mayor London Breed's Termination Of Proclamation Of Local Emergency.
- 2. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a San Francisco Police Department Bulletin issued on October 18, 2023 titled "23-166 Enforcement of Laws and Ordinances for Individuals Experiencing Homelessness Sitting, Lying, or Sleeping on Public Property (Supersedes DN 23-007)."
- 3. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct excerpt of a spreadsheet of San Francisco Police Department Incident Report data for the time period January 1, 2022 through April 9, 2024, reflecting all entries with the incident description "lodging without permission," and including the following five columns: "Incident Datetime," "Incident ID," "Incident Description," "Resolution" and "Intersection." All other columns have been omitted.

Exhibit A is judicially noticeable because public records such as COVID-19 proclamations are generally known in the jurisdiction and are therefore proper subjects of judicial notice. Fed. R. Evid. 201(a); *Tandon v. Newsom*, 517 F. Supp. 3d 922, 944 (N.D. Cal. 2021) (taking judicial notice of "order issued by the State of California, lifting the Regional Stay at Home Order"). In addition, Exhibit A is a matter of public record and can be found on the San Francisco Board of Supervisor's website, at https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/Final_COVIDEmergency_Termination_05032023.pdf.

Exhibit B is judicially noticeable because the Court may take judicial notice of "a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). The San Francisco Police Department's policy update satisfies that requirement. *Foster v. City of Oakland*, 621 F. Supp. 2d 779, 795 (N.D. Cal. 2008) (taking judicial notice of two police department policies). In addition, Exhibit B is a matter of public record and can be found on the San Francisco Police Department's website, at https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/sites/default/files/2023-12/SFPDDN_23_166_20231213.pdf.

1 **Exhibit** C is judicially noticeable because the Court may take judicial notice of "a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it can be accurately and readily determined from sources 2 whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). Exhibit C satisfies that 3 requirement. United States v. Torres-Hernandez, 447 F.3d 699, 704 (9th Cir. 2006) (taking judicial of 4 "the government's statistical evidence" from census records). In addition, Exhibit C is a matter of 5 public record and can be found on the San Francisco City Administrator's website, at 6 7 https://bit.ly/3JqedTe. 8 9 Dated: April 18, 2024 10 **DAVID CHIU** City Attorney 11 YVONNE R. MERÉ Chief Deputy City Attorney 12 WAYNE SNODGRASS TARA M. STEELEY 13 JOHN H. GEORGE KAITLYN M. MURPHY 14 **Deputy City Attorneys** 15 By: /s/Kaitlyn Murphy 16 KAITLYN MURPHY 17 Attorneys for Defendant 18 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28